

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/618,783	HALL, GREGORY T.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert B. Davis	1722	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Robert B. Davis.

(3) _____.

(2) Eric J. Sosenko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2005

Time: 4:15

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None.

Claims discussed:
21.

Prior art documents discussed:
None.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner called Mr. Sosenko to request permission to change the dependency of claim 21 from claim 11 to claim 15. Mr. Sosenko agreed. It was agreed that the change would be made by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)